435.36 00/00/2005 Rev. 06

NEW SITE IDENTIFICATION (NSI)

	Site Title: 20" SW-NS-110477 Flange Leak East of CPP-601	Site Code: CPP-126 NSI Evaluation Initiation Date:	
	·	4/27/2005	
	Task Lead For New Site: Wendell Jolley	Phone: 526-5990	
	NSI Coordinator: Nielsen Burch	Phone: 526-5676	
	Initiator or Initial Observer: Richard Willson	Phone: 526-9641	
	Description of Suspected New Site and Location:		
	Pump Station east of CPP-797/1749. After the line was connected to the pump station, and during testing of the system, a leak was observed at the flange where the line designation changes from SW-NH to SW-AR (see Figure 1). The leak was repaired by tightening the flange as documented in ECR # 9-130, and the line was put back into operation. Line 20 in. SW-NS-110477 was characterized as non hazardous and is continuously monitored for radioactivity (Voluntary Consent Order Characterization EDF-2964 "Voluntary Consent Order Tank system INTEC-095-INTEC Service Waste System Characterization", June 10, 2004), and meets the requirements for the Waste Water Land Application Permit (WWLAP). If radioactivity is detected, the monitoring system is designed to reroute the water to a holding tank. Therefore, no risk to human health or the environment are believed to exist because of the flange leak. This new site identification form was prepared to satisfy requirements from the Consent Order Action Plan found on page B-13 (Consent Order Idaho Code 39-4413 June 14, 2000). The hazardous waste determination for this line says that it is non hazardous (EDF-1667) which satisfies requirement A. Requirement B says that if it has a potential for release to the environment then it shall be evaluated by DOE and should be recommended for inclusion in the FFA/CO.		
	be evaluated by DOE and should be recommended for inclusion in the FFA	CO.	
 -	be evaluated by DOE and should be recommended for inclusion in the FFA. Is the site SWMU as defined in OSWER DIRECTIVE 9502.00-6?	CO.	
		CO.	
3. 7.	Is the site SWMU as defined in OSWER DIRECTIVE 9502.00-6?	CO. ✓es □ No T warrant further investigation, does not meet the	
	Is the site SWMU as defined in OSWER DIRECTIVE 9502.00-6? Recommendation Recommend not including as a new FFA/CO site. This site DOES NO	Yes □ No T warrant further investigation, does not meet the ion Plan.	
	Is the site SWMU as defined in OSWER DIRECTIVE 9502.00-6? Recommendation Recommend not including as a new FFA/CO site. This site DOES NO criteria for acceptance, and should not be included under FFA/CO Act Recommend including as new FFA/CO site. This site DOES meet the	Yes □ No T warrant further investigation, does not meet the ion Plan. criteria for acceptance, may warrant further	
	Is the site SWMU as defined in OSWER DIRECTIVE 9502.00-6? Recommendation Recommend not including as a new FFA/CO site. This site DOES NO criteria for acceptance, and should not be included under FFA/CO Act Recommend including as new FFA/CO site. This site DOES meet the investigation, and should be included under FFA/CO Action Plan.	Yes □ No T warrant further investigation, does not meet the ion Plan. criteria for acceptance, may warrant further	
	Is the site SWMU as defined in OSWER DIRECTIVE 9502.00-6? Recommendation Recommend not including as a new FFA/CO site. This site DOES NO criteria for acceptance, and should not be included under FFA/CO Act Recommend including as new FFA/CO site. This site DOES meet the investigation, and should be included under FFA/CO Action Plan. Recommended WAG and Operable Unit to which site should be assigned.	Yes □ No T warrant further investigation, does not meet the ion Plan. criteria for acceptance, may warrant further	
	Is the site SWMU as defined in OSWER DIRECTIVE 9502.00-6? Recommendation Recommend not including as a new FFA/CO site. This site DOES NO criteria for acceptance, and should not be included under FFA/CO Act Recommend including as new FFA/CO site. This site DOES meet the investigation, and should be included under FFA/CO Action Plan. Recommended WAG and Operable Unit to which site should be assig WAG: 10 Operable Unit: 10-08	Yes □ No T warrant further investigation, does not meet the ion Plan. criteria for acceptance, may warrant further ned:	
	Is the site SWMU as defined in OSWER DIRECTIVE 9502.00-6? Recommendation Recommend not including as a new FFA/CO site. This site DOES NO criteria for acceptance, and should not be included under FFA/CO Act Recommend including as new FFA/CO site. This site DOES meet the investigation, and should be included under FFA/CO Action Plan. Recommended WAG and Operable Unit to which site should be assig WAG: 10 Operable Unit: 10-08 Recommended action for this site:	Yes □ No T warrant further investigation, does not meet the ion Plan. criteria for acceptance, may warrant further ned:	

435.36 00/00/2005 Rev. 06

NEW SITE IDENTIFICATION (NSI)

PART B - INEEL FFA/CO RESPONSIBLE PROGRAM MANAGERS (RPM'S) CONCURRENCE			
Site Title: 20" SW-NS-110477 Flange Leak East of CPP-601	Site Code: CPP-126		
DOE-ID FFA/CO RPM Concurrence: Concur with recommendation.	ot concur with the recommendation.		
Signature: Nathlern E Hair Explanation: The 5,te CPP-126 should be udded to			
but should be dispositioned in the 003-1	4 ROD. If		
sufficient information is not avuilable to	support the 2008		
ROD, then the side should be transfered No action is recommended based on a	to 00 10-08		
that only service waste flowed through	the line		
	ot concur with the recommendation. Date: 6-20-05		
State of Idaho FFA/CO RPM Concurrence: Concur with recommendation. Do n Signature: Concur with recommendation. Explanation: Concur with recommendation. Do n One One One One One One One O	ot concur with the recommendation. Date: 1925/05		
<i>y- ,</i>			

NEW SITE IDENTIFICATION (NSI)

